UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,379	01/09/2002	Takashi Kondo	245402004000	5474
	7590 04/11/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
1650 TYSONS	BOULEVARD		PHAM, HUNG Q	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/040,379	KONDO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUNG Q. PHAM	2168
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23,24,27 and 28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23,24,27 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the control of the control of the correct and the control of the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/040,379 Page 2

Art Unit: 2168

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. Therefore, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 01/23/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As discussed in the Interview 12/26/2007, in order to distinguish the claimed invention over the prior arts of record, the applicant agreed to define the claimed cut out image data by a wherein clause: wherein the cut out image data is the actual image of the displayed image.

In the amendment filed on 01/23/2008, this definition of the cut out image as agreed was not incorporated in claims 23, 27 and 28. Therefore, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 is continued as in the following manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 2168

Claims 23, 24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kinjo [USP 6,813,395 B1].

Regarding claims 23, 27 and 28, Kinjo teaches a method, program and apparatus for retrieving image data (Abstract) comprising:

a database for registering a plurality of images in a database (Col. 10 Lines 34-39);

a display unit for displaying an image registered in the database on a display unit (FIG. 1, Col. 36-37);

a designator for designating an image area of the registered image displayed on display unit (In an attempt at searching for the original image of FIG. 1, the user has arranged a triangle symbolizing the mountain, two ellipses symbolizing the face and the trunk, respectively, of the person, and a vertically rectangle symbolizing the building, with each figure being fitted in the position where it is most likely to be found (Col. 10 Lines 60-67). The Kinjo technique indicates an image area, e.g., the face area, of the registered image displayed on the display unit, e.g., FIGS. 1 and 3, is designated by a designator, e.g., the mouse is used to specify an ellipse representing the face area); and

image (FIG. 3 shows the result of extracting predetermined geometric figures, e.g., triangular 31, circles 32 and 33, rectangle 34, from the image in FIG. 1 by the program for the image searching (Col. 10 Lines 45-51). The designated searching pattern is transformed to numerical data and tabulated in the same manner as shown in the following Table 1 (Col. 13 Lines 26-49). The Kinjo teaching as discussed indicates the *image data*, e.g., the image region represented by the circle comprising coordinates, size and direction, is extracted or *cut out* by *a controller*, e.g.,

the program for the image searching. The *image data*, e.g., the image region represented by the circle comprising coordinates, size and direction, *corresponding to the designated image area of the registered image*, e.g., the image region represented by the circle corresponds to the specified ellipse of FIG. 3 representing the face area of FIG. 1) and

retrieving a desired image data containing image data which is identical or analogous to the image data cut out from the database (FIG. 5 illustrates the process of searching images. The designated searching pattern is transformed to numerical data and tabulated in the same manner as shown in the following Table 1 (Col. 13 Lines 26-49). After transforming the captured designated searching pattern to numerical data, image is read from the database and compared for verification with the designated searching pattern (FIG. 5, Boxes 501-504, Col. 13 Lines 45-54). The comparison is based on a degree of agreement between the captured designated searching patterns and the patterns in the read image using characteristic values (Col. 14 Line 46-Col. 15 Line 20). If the answer is yes based on a check for agreement, the characteristic values are retrieved (FIG. 5, Boxes 504-508, Col. 13 Lines 55-65), and the result of searched images is displayed in a thumbnail layout in the increasing or decreasing order of agreement (Col. 15 Lines 32-34). The Kinjo teaching as discussed indicates the program for the image searching is for retrieving a desired image data from the database, e.g., the result of searched images in thumbnail layout, containing image data, e.g., the specific figures as in Table 1, which is identical or analogous to the image data cut out, e.g., the similarity of the figures in the read image and the captured designated searching figures is based on a degree of agreement),

wherein the cutout image data corresponds to the actual image of the displayed image (As discussed above with respect to the cutting out limitation, the *image data*, e.g., the image region represented by the circle comprising coordinates, size and direction, is extracted or *cut out* by the program for the image searching. The *image data*, e.g., the image region represented by the circle comprising

Application/Control Number: 10/040,379 Page 5

Art Unit: 2168

coordinates, size and direction, *corresponding to the actual image of the displayed image*, e.g., the image region represented by the circle corresponds to the the face area of FIG. 1).

Regarding claim 24, Kinjo teaches all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claim 23, Kinjo further discloses *the image corresponding to the image area is an image of a face of a person* (As shown in the Kinjo FIG. 3, *the image corresponding to the image area*, e.g., the face area specified by the ellipse, *is an image of a face of a person*).

Art Unit: 2168

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNG Q. PHAM whose telephone number is 571-272-4040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIM T. VO can be reached on 571-272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HUNG Q PHAM/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2168

April 7, 2008